

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,941	12/30/1999	ELIEZER ROSENGAUS	KLA1P001C1	2963
	90 04/07/2003			
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TO 000 (D	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 21				
U.	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
	Attachment(s)						
	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	and the province of the province in the provin						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	Application Papers						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>59-74</u> is/are rejected.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	4) Claim(s) <u>59-74</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
r	The MAII ING DATE of this communication annu	Richard A Rosenberger	2877				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Office Action Surren	09/474,941	ROSENGAUS ET AL.				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Art Unit: 2877

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 59-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Sato et al (US 5,766,360).

Sato et al shows a semiconductor manufacturing system and method in which there is a wafer handling chamber which can contain a vacuum environment (column 2, line 22; column 3, line 46; and column 5, lines 23-24 among others). The wafer handling chamber is shown having facets (figures 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8) with processing tools attached to the facets. Sato also states that a metrology tool can be attached to one of the facets; see inspection chamber 58 in figure 2, inspection chamber 110 in figure 3, inspection chamber 158 in figure 4, and inspection chamber 341 in figure 7. The process tools and inspection means can be any that are appropriate for the processing of the wafer at hand.

Sato et al explicitly relates to a system in which the process chambers are process chambers such as a pre-process etching chamber (column 7, lines 4-5) and a thin film growth chamber (column 7, lines 3-4) with the metrology tool being appropriate for the evaluation of those processes. However, other processes which

Application/Control Number: 09/474,941

Art Unit: 2877

can take place in such processing chambers and other optical metrology tools appropriate for the evaluation of the processes are well-known in the art; the instant specification doe not appear to allege that any of the processing being done in the disclosed and claimed apparatus is per se new, nor that any of the metrology tools being used are per se new; the instant specification characterizes the use of optical metrology as "a standard step in the production of semiconductors" (instant specification, page 1, lines 12-13). There is nothing in the art to suggest to those in the art that the type of system shown in Sato et al would not work with other known processing arrangements or with other known metrology arrangements. Those in the art would have found the disclosure of Sato et al to at least suggest a similar arrangement with other processing steps and other known metrology arrangements. Having the number and type of metrology systems to accommodate the particular processing being done is obvious; it is clearly not obvious to use an inappropriate number or type of measurement, or to leave some processing step unchecked when errors in that step produce errors in the final product.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 2877

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 3. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 3 April 2003

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner